

PHILLIP A. TALBERT
United States Attorney
JUSTIN J. GILIO
Assistant United States Attorneys
2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401
Fresno, California 93721
Telephone: (559) 497-4000
Facsimile: (559) 497-4099
Attorneys for Plaintiff
United States of America

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

QUINTIN JEUH CARLOS-BANUELOS,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 1:20-CR-00175-NODJ-BAM

STIPULATION TO CONTINUE CHANGE OF
PLEA HEARING AND ORDER THEREON

STIPULATION

Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

1. By previous order, this matter was set for a change of plea hearing on March 22, 2024, at 11:15 a.m. The defendant now seeks to continue the change of plea hearing to April 29, 2024, at 8:30 a.m. The proposed change of plea date represents the earliest date that all counsel are available, taking into account counsels' schedules, defense counsels' commitments to other clients, and the court's available dates for a change of plea hearing.

2. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:

a) The discovery associated with this case includes case includes voluminous investigative reports, wire interceptions recordings and electronic messages, precise location information data, and cellular phone downloads, totaling thousands of pages of discovery and several gigabytes of electronic data.

b) Defense counsel requests the additional time to prepare for the change of plea hearing, meet with his client, and review/negotiate the plea agreement. The parties are close to finalizing a plea agreement. Defense counsel has been conducting independent investigation and as a result has requested some revisions to the factual basis for the plea agreement. The government and the defense need the additional time to implement these revisions. In addition defense counsel needs additional time to meet with his client, who resides out of state.

c) Counsel for the defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

d) The government does not object to the continuance.

e) The defendant is currently out of custody.

f) The parties agree that time should be excluded because failure to grant the requested case schedule would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, and unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the parties' due diligence in prosecuting this case. 18 U.S.C. Section 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by the schedule as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act. Therefore, the parties request that the Court exclude the time through the change of plea hearing date on April 29, 2024, from calculations under the Speedy Trial Act.

g) IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: March 18, 2024

PHILLIP A. TALBERT
United States Attorney

By: /s/ JUSTIN J. GILIO
JUSTIN J. GILIO
Assistant United States Attorney

Dated: March 18, 2024

/s/ Victor Chavez
Attorney for Defendant
QUINTIN JEUH CARLOS-BANUELOS

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED that the change of plea hearing is continued from March 22, 2024, to **April 29, 2024, at 8:30 a.m. in Courtroom 5 before the District Court Judge**. Time is excluded pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 18, 2024

/s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE